

Isanti Soil & Water Conservation District

2014 Annual Plan

Historically, Minnesota Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCD) have been required to prepare annual plans. Beginning in 2013 the MN Board of Water and Soil Resources BWSR replaced the annual plan requirement with their Biennial Budget Request (BBR). SWCDs are currently required to post their annual plan on their website. Therefore, the BBR is posted as an alternative. It is presented below.

It is noteworthy that requires that each SWCD publish their State Cost Share policies in their annual plan. The BBR does not have a space for these policies. Therefore, the Isanti SWCD's State Cost Share policies are also presented below.

Isanti SWCD State Cost Share Program Policies

Priority areas for use of state cost share program funds are:

1. High Priority Water Quality Problems

“High Priority Water Quality” means areas where sediment, nutrients, chemicals, or other pollutants discharge to protected waters or to a sinkhole or ground water so as to impair their quality or usefulness. The Isanti SWCD most strongly favors:

- a. Projects identified in completed or underway subwatershed analyses (aka stormwater retrofit analyses),
- b. Projects identified in completed or underway TMDLs,
- c. Projects beneficial to a lake, stream, or river failing to meet state water quality standards or with a declining water quality trend.

2. High Priority Erosion Problems

“High priority erosion problems: means areas where erosion from wind or water is one ton per acre per year or any area within 300 feet of a water course or within 1,000 feet of a water basin or wetland. The water basin, wetland, or watercourse must be classified by the Department of natural Resources as protected water.

3. High Priority Sedimentation Problems

“High priority sedimentation problems” means areas within 300 feet of a water course or 100 feet of a water basin or wetland where the water erosion rate exceeds 3 tons per acre per year or areas where the District can show that sediment delivery occurs from a watershed or direct conveyance structure such as a storm sewer or paved outlet channel discharging to these waters. The water basin, wetland, or watercourse must be classified by the Department of natural Resources as protected water.

4. High Priority Feedlot Problems

“High Priority Feedlots” means feedlots ranking highly with current NRCS farm evaluation methods/software and which are discharging pollutants to DNR protected waters or to a sinkhole or shallow soils overlaying fractured or cavernous bedrock or within 100 feet of a water well.

Isanti SWCD 2014-15 Biennial Budget Request

Presented on the following pages.

**Board of Water and Soil Resources
Biennial Budget Request (BBR)
Fiscal Years 2014-2015**

Competitive Project Funds Projects and Activities

Requestor Information	
Requestor Organization	Isanti SWCD
Requestor Organization Type	SWCD
Fiscal Agent Organization	Isanti SWCD
Day-to-Day Contact Person	Mark DeMuth
Mailing Address	380 Garfield St. So.
City	Cambridge
State	MN
Zip Code	55008
Office Phone Number	763-689-3224
Office Phone Extension	Ext. 5
E-mail Address	mark.demuth @mn.nacdnet.net
Requestor Organization Website	isantiswcd.org
eLINK Reporting Contact	Mark DeMuth



		FY12 & FY13		Program Specific Activities		Plan Connections			Budget					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)		(M)	
SWCD Program	BWSR Program Allocation	Required Program Match	Activity Type	Activity Description	Plan Type	Plan Category	Plan Connection	Requested Biennial State Contribution	Anticipated Biennial Match Funds Provided	Total SWCD Activity Budget	What additional SWCD Program and Operations activities could be accomplished with an additional State contribution?		How much additional funding would be required to accomplish those activities?	
Conservation Delivery	\$40,345	<i>No Match Required</i>	Operational Expenses	salary and benefits for two FTE's for the administration of District activities.	County	Administration	Overall County Water Plan Administration	\$32,345	\$0	\$40,345		One additional staff person to promote, design, and oversee installation of BMP's and other conservation related duties.	\$20,000	
			Operational Expenses	Rent and building utilities to house 2 FTE's	County	Administration	Overall County Water Plan Administration	\$8,000	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$40,345	\$0					
State Cost-Share	\$15,729	<i>\$3,146</i>	Erosion Control Water	Cost-share for BMP's related to soil and water conservation	County	Land and Water Treatment	Cost-share funding for water plan BMP's	\$12,583	\$3,146	\$18,875		Additional cost-share funds to match EQIP projects within county	\$10,000	
			Technical/Administrative	Practice, design and administration	County	Administration	Cost-share funding for water plan BMP's	\$3,146	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$15,729	\$3,146					
NPEA Program	\$0	\$0			Does not apply	Does not apply	Does not apply	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$0	
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								\$0	\$0					
								NPEA Subtotal		\$0	\$0			
TOTALS	\$56,074	\$0						\$56,074	\$3,146	\$59,220			\$30,000	