Isanti Soil & Water Conservation District

2014 Annual Plan

Historically, Minnesota Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCD) have been required to prepare annual plans. Beginning in 2013 the MN Board of Water and Soil Resources BWSR replaced the annual plan requirement with their Biennial Budget Request (BBR). SWCDs are currently required to post their annual plan on their website. Therefore, the BBR is posted as an alternative. It is presented below.

It is noteworthy that requires that each SWCD publish their State Cost Share policies in their annual plan. The BBR does not have a space for these policies. Therefore, the Isanti SWCD's State Cost Share policies are also presented below.

Isanti SWCD State Cost Share Program Policies

Priority areas for use of state cost share program funds are:

1. High Priority Water Quality Problems

"High Priority Water Quality" means areas where sediment, nutrients, chemicals, or other pollutants discharge to protected waters or to a sinkhole or ground water so as to impair their quality or usefulness. The Isanti SWCD most strongly favors:

- a. Projects identified in completed or underway subwatershed analyses (aka stormwater retrofit analyses),
- b. Projects identified in completed or underway TMDLs,
- c. Projects beneficial to a lake, stream, or river failing to meet state water quality standards or with a declining water quality trend.

2. High Priority Erosion Problems

"High priority erosion problems: means areas where erosion from wind or water is one ton per acre per year or any area within 300 feet of a water course or within 1,000 feet of a water basin or wetland. The water basin, wetland, or watercourse must be classified by the Department of natural Resources as protected water.

3. High Priority Sedimentation Problems

"High priority sedimentation problems" means areas within 300 feet of a water course or 100 feet of a water basin or wetland where the water erosion rate exceeds 3 tons per acre per year or areas where the District can show that sediment delivery occurs from a watershed or direct conveyance structure such as a storm sewer or paved outlet channel discharging to these waters. The water basin, wetland, or watercourse must be classified by the Department of natural Resources as protected water.

4. High Priority Feedlot Problems

"High Priority Feedlots" means feedlots ranking highly with current NRCS farm evaluation methods/software and which are discharging pollutants to DNR protected waters or to a sinkhole or shallow soils overlaying fractured or cavernous bedrock or within 100 feet of a water well.

<u>Isanti SWCD 2014-15 Bienniel Budget Request</u> Presented on the following pages.

Board of Water and Soil Resources Biennial Budget Request (BBR) Fiscal Years 2014-2015

Competitive Project Funds Projects and Activities

Requestor Information							
Requestor Organization	Isanti SWCD						
Requestor Organization Type	swcd						
Fiscal Agent Organization	Isanti SWCD						
Day-to-Day Contact Person	Mark DeMuth						
Mailing Address	380 Garfield St. So.						
City	Cambridge						
State	MN						
Zip Code	55008						
Office Phone Number	763-689-3224						
Office Phone Extension	Ext. 5						
E-mail Address	mark.demuth @mn.nacdnet.net						
Requestor Organization Website	isantiswcd.org						
eLINK Reporting Contact	Mark DeMuth						



Isanti SWCD

	₩ater Resource of Concern				Targeting			Projects and Activities					
(A) Local Resou	(B) Primary Vater	(C) Primary Vater	rimary Conventi /ater onal	(E) Vater Quality	(F) Scale of Activity	(G) Vatersh ed: 8-	(H) Sub- watershe	(I) Project Activity	(J) Vater Plan	(K) Primary Activity	(L) Why is this activity important for the water		
rce Priorit	Resource of Concern	Resourc e	Vater Quality	Concern	Focus	Digit HUC	d: 12- Digit	Description	Category	Timary Activity	resource?		
1	County Surface Water	Surface Water	Unknown	Sediment and erosion from fields entering lakes and streams.	County-Wide	07010207		Cost-share to landowners for the installation of native buffers	Land and Water Treatment	Erosion Control Water	Protected streambanks and wetlands. Reduce sediment and pollution contributions to surface waters.		
2													
3													
4													
5													

Isanti SWCD

	Plan Connection	1	l	Output	5	Budget			
(A)	(M)	(N)	(0) (P) (Q)			(R) (S) (T)			
Local Resou rce Priorit	₩ater Plan or TMDL Implementation Plan Priority Connection	Plan Type	Number of anticipated outputs	Number of outputs specifically identified at	Describe how these activities could be accomplished in a 3-year grant period.	Requested State Contributio n for FY14-	Potential Leveraged Funds (\$)	Resource Manageme nt Budget(\$)	
1	Priority 2, Objective 7: Work with Isanti County SWCD, USDA, and Ditch Authority to promote BMPs and provide incentives such as CCRP for buffers in agriculture areas to decrease phosphorus load to the Rum River and area lakes. Search for other private buffer incentives	County	60		Landowners will contract to install native buffers	\$120,000	\$30,000	\$150,000	
2									
3									
4									
5									

	5140	0.514.0		10. 4 11.11	1							
		& FY13	_	pecific Activities	Plan Connections				Budget			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(L)	(K)	(L)	(M)
S₩CD Program	BWSR Program Allocation	Required Program Match	Activity Type	Activity Description	Plan Type	Plan Category		Requested Biennial State Contribution	Anticipated Biennial Match Funds Provided	Total SWCD Activity Budget	What additional SWCD Program and Operations activities could be accomplished with an additional State contribution?	How much additional funding would be required to accomplish those activities?
			Operational Expenses	salary and benefits for two FTE's for the administration of District activities.	County	Administration	Overall County Water Plan Administration Overall County Water Plan	\$32,345	\$0		One additional staff person to promote, design, and oversee installation of BMP's and other conservation related duties.	
Conservat	\$40.345	No Match	Operational Expenses	Rent and building utilities to house 2 FTE's	County	Administration	Administration	\$8,000 \$0				
ion Delivery	\$40,345	Required						\$0 \$0	\$0	\$40,345		\$20,000
								\$0 \$0	\$0			
	L							\$0 \$40,345				
State Cost- Share	\$15,729	1 3,146	Erosion Control Water Technical/Administrative	Cost-share for BMP's related to soil and water conservation Practice, design and administration	County	Land and Water Treatment Administration	Cost-share funding for water plan BMP's Cost-share funding for water plan BMP's	\$12,583 \$3,146 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3,146 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		Additional cost-share funds to match EQIP projects within county	\$10,000
NPEA Program	\$0	\$0			Does not apply	Does not apply	Does not apply NPEA Subtotal	\$15,729 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0		\$0
TOTALS	\$56,074	\$0					14 EA Subtotal	\$56,074	\$U \$3,146	\$59,220		\$30,000
			•					\$56,074	33,146	\$55,220	j l	\$30,000